

**REMARKS**

**Summary Of The Office Action & Formalities**

**Status of Claims**

Claims 1-5 are all the claims pending in the application. By this Amendment, Applicant is canceling claim 2, amending claims 1 and 3-5, and adding new claims 6-8. No new matter is added.

**Claim to Foreign Priority**

Applicant thanks the Examiner for acknowledging the claim to foreign priority and for confirming that the certified copy of the priority document was received.

**Information Disclosure Statement**

Applicant also thanks the Examiner for initialing the references listed on forms PTO/SB/08 submitted with the Information Disclosure Statements filed on December 29, 2004 and April 1, 2005.

**Drawings**

Again, Applicant thanks the Examiner for acknowledging and accepting the drawings filed on December 29, 2004.

**Specification**

The disclosure is objected to as lacking appropriate section headings as outlined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.77. Applicant is adding headings to overcome this objection.

### **Claim Objections**

Claims 1-5 are objected to for the reason set forth at page 2 of the Office Action.

Applicant is amending the claims to overcome this rejection.

### **Allowable Subject Matter**

Claims 2-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### **Art Rejections**

1. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Roblejo (US 5,989,122).<sup>1</sup>
2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson et al. (US 2002/0017481 A1; “Johnson”).
3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roblejo (US 2002/0017481 A1) in view of Johnson et al. (US 2002/0017481 A1; “Johnson”).

Applicant has rewritten claim 1 to include the subject matter of allowable claim 2, thereby placing this claim and claims 3-5 in condition for allowance.

### **New Claims**

For additional claim coverage merited by the scope of the invention, Applicant is adding new claims 6-8. Claim 6 is believed to be allowable, because the prior art does not teach or

---

<sup>1</sup> The Examiner appears to have inadvertently referenced the U.S. Application Publication to Johnson in this rejection.

suggest at least the blower as recited in this claim. Claims 7 and 8 are allowable at least by reason of their respective dependencies.

**Conclusion**

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,



Raja Saliba  
Registration No. 43,078

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE  
23373  
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: September 18, 2006